FORM 8-K

#### CURRENT REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): May 13, 1996

STERIS CORPORATION (Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Ohio0-2016534-1482024(State or Other Jurisdiction<br/>of Incorporation)(Commission File Number)<br/>Identification No.)(IRS Employer<br/>Identification No.)

5960 Heisley Road, Mentor, Ohio 44060-1868 (Address, Including Zip Code, of Principal Executive Offices)

(216) 354-2600 (Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

#### ITEM 2. ACQUISITION OR DISPOSITION OF ASSETS

2

On May 13, 1996, STERIS Corporation ("STERIS"), an Ohio corporation, and AMSCO International, Inc. ("AMSCO"), a Delaware corporation, completed the merger of Steris Acquisition Corporation ("STERIS Acquisition"), a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary of STERIS, with and into AMSCO, with AMSCO being the corporation surviving the merger (the "Merger"). As a result of the Merger, AMSCO became a wholly-owned subsidiary of STERIS. The Merger was completed under the terms of the Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 16, 1995 and restated as of March 28, 1996 (the "Merger Agreement"). The terms of the Merger and the intentions of STERIS management with respect to the assets acquired by STERIS in the Merger are more fully described in the STERIS and AMSCO Joint Proxy Statement/Prospectus prepared for the Special Meetings of Shareholders held May 1, 1996, included in STERIS's Form S-4 Registration Statement No. 333-1610 filed February 23, 1996, as amended April 1, 1996. Shareholders of STERIS and AMSCO approved the Merger in separate meetings on May 1, 1996.

Under the terms of the Merger Agreement, each of the 33,011,829 shares of AMSCO Common Stock outstanding on the date of the Merger were converted into the right to receive 0.46 STERIS Common Share (the "Conversion Ratio"). In addition, the outstanding and unexercised AMSCO Options or other rights to acquire AMSCO Common Stock were adjusted on May 13, 1996 to permit them to remain outstanding and become exercisable to acquire STERIS Common Shares.

ITEM 7. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, PRO FORMA FINANCIAL INFORMATION, AND EXHIBITS

(a) Financial Statements of AMSCO and subsidiaries.

The following are filed as exhibits to this Form 8-K Current Report:

Report of Independent Public Accountants

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 1995 and December 31, 1994

Consolidated Statements of Income for the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995  $\,$ 

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

#### (b) Pro Forma Financial Information

3

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined statements of income for the years ended March 31, 1995 and 1994, give effect to the merger based on the historical consolidated financial statements of STERIS and AMSCO under the assumptions and adjustments set forth in the accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements. It is impracticable to provide the unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet as of March 31, 1996 and the unaudited pro forma condensed combined statement of income for the year ended March 31, 1996 (the "1996 Pro Forma Financial Statements") at this time, because the STERIS consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 1996 and the STERIS consolidated statement of income for the year ended March 31, 1996 (the "1996 STERIS Financial Statements") are not yet available. The 1996 STERIS Financial Statements will be included in the STERIS Annual Report on Form 10-K to be filed on or prior to June 29, 1996, and at such time as the Annual Report on Form 10-K is filed, an amendment to this Form 8-K will be filed including the 1996 Pro Forma Financial Statements of the combined entity.

The pro forma condensed combined financial statements have been prepared by the managements of STERIS and AMSCO based upon their respective consolidated financial statements, which include results of operations as if the Merger had been consummated on April 1, 1993 at the commencement of STERIS's fiscal year 1994, including AMSCO's results of operations for the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995. The pro forma condensed combined financial statements may not be indicative of the results that actually would have occurred if the Merger had been in effect during the period presented or which may be obtained in the future. As a part of the ongoing merger integration process, management is evaluating the accounting policies and practices of the combined organization. This evaluation may result in certain conforming accounting adjustments, the effects of which are not expected to be material to the financial condition or results of operations of the combined organization. The pro forma condensed combined financial statements should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and the related accompanying notes thereto to AMSCO, included herein, and those of STERIS.

# Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Income Statements For the Year Ended March 31, 1995

	STERIS YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 1995	AMSCO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1994	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (SEE NOTE 2)	PRO FORMA COMBINED
	(IN	THOUSANDS, EXCEPT I		
Net sales Cost of Sales	\$64,272 23,895	\$483,304 316,661		\$547,576 340,556
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative Research and development Restructuring and severance Amortization	40,377 20,563 6,588  	166,643 96,263 12,533 26,996 8,836		207,020 116,826 19,121 26,996 8,836
Income from operations Other (income) and expenses:	13,226	22,015		35,241
Interest expense Interest income, net Other net	(634)	10,757 (751)	\$(2,084)(a) 2,084 (a)	10,757 (2,718) 1,333
Income from continuing operations before income taxes, extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting	13,860	12,009		25,869
Provision for income taxes	5,124	7,758		12,882
Income from continuing operations before extraordinary items and cumulative effect of changes in accounting	\$ 8,736	\$ 4,251		\$12,987
Income from continuing operations before extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting per share	======	======		======
Primary Fully diluted	\$0.46 \$0.45	\$0.30(b) \$0.30(b)		\$0.39 \$0.39
Shares used in per share calculation Primary Fully diluted	19,008 19,237	14,084(b) 14,270(b)		33,092 33,506

See notes to unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements.

# UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED INCOME STATEMENTS FOR THE YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 1994

	STERIS YEAR ENDED MARCH 31, 1994	AMSCO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1993	PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS (SEE NOTE 2)	PRO FORMA COMBINED
	(IN	THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER	SHARE AMOUNTS)	
Net sales Cost of sales	\$45,822 17,896	\$494,868 310,618		\$540,690 328,514
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative Research and development Restructuring and severance Amortization	27,926 15,585 4,358	184,250 98,570 17,652 4,950 9,342		212, 176 114, 155 22, 010 4, 950 9, 342
Income from operations	7,983	53,736		61,719
Other (income) and expenses: Interest expense Interest income, net Patent litigation settlement Other net	(570)  	11,497  (7,000) (126)	\$(1,378)(a)  1,378(a)	11,497 (1,948) (7,000) 1,252
Income from continuing operations before income taxes, extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting Provision for income taxes	8,553 3,407	49,365 20,162		57,918 23,569
Income from continuing operations before extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting	\$ 5,146 =======	\$ 29,203 =======		\$ 34,349 ======
Income from continuing operations before extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting per share Primary Fully diluted	\$0.28 \$0.27	\$2.11(b) \$2.11(b)		\$1.06 \$1.05
Shares used in per share calculation Primary Fully diluted	18,694 18,730	13,811(b) 13,846(b)		32,505 32,576

See notes to unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements.

#### STERIS CORPORATION AND AMSCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.

#### NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

#### NOTE 1 -- BASIS OF PRESENTATION

For accounting purposes, the Merger will be treated as a pooling of interests. Accordingly, the accompanying unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements give retroactive effect to the Merger and include the combined operations of STERIS and AMSCO for all periods presented. The pro forma condensed combined income statements exclude losses from discontinued operations, extraordinary loss on the extinguishment of debt, and cumulative effects of changes in accounting principles. No provision has been reflected in the unaudited pro forma condensed combined income statements for expenses expected to be incurred in connection with the Merger. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined so and give effect to any revenue enhancements and cost savings which may be realized following the Merger.

STERIS's annual financial reporting period ending on March 31 will be adopted by the combined entity upon consummation of the Merger.

# NOTE 2 -- PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

The adjustments to the pro forma financial statements are discussed

below:

(a) To reclassify interest income of AMSCO.

(b) Income from continuing operations before extraordinary item and cumulative effect of changes in accounting per share was calculated by applying the Conversion Ratio to outstanding shares of AMSCO Common Stock and by applying the treasury stock method to potentially dilutive securities. The AMSCO 4.5% / 6.5% Step-Up Convertible Subordinated Debentures due October 15, 2002 were anti-dilutive in all periods outstanding and accordingly were excluded from the calculations.

- 7 (c) Exhibits
  - 2.1 Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 16, 1995 and restated as of March 28, 1996, by and among STERIS, STERIS Acquisition and AMSCO filed as Appendix A to Joint Proxy Statement/ Prospectus included in Form S-4 Registration Statement No 333-1610 filed February 23, 1996, as amended April 1, 1996 and incorporated herein by reference.
  - 99.1 Report of Arthur Andersen LLP, Independent Public Accountants.
  - 99.2 Financial Statements of AMSCO and its subsidiaries.

# SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

STERIS CORPORATION Registrant

Date: May 28, 1996

By: /s/ MICHAEL A. KERESMAN, III Michael A. Keresman, III Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Secretary

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

To the Board of Directors, AMSCO International, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of AMSCO International, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of AMSCO International, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 1995 and 1994, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 1995, in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles.

ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP

Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, January 31, 1996 (except with respect to the matter discussed in Note 18, as to which the date is March 21, 1996.)

	December 31,			
(Dollars in Thousands)	1995	1994		
ASSETS				
CURRENT ASSETS:				
Cash and cash equivalents (cost approximates market value)	\$ 112,944			
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$3,032 and \$2,872, respectively	129,802	138,414		
Inventories	56,364	67,528 15,786		
Current portion of deferred income taxes	6,669	15,786		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	4,174	3,665		
Total current assets	309,953	256,904		
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net	76,260	79,486		
DTHER ASSETS	741	467		
INTANGIBLES	95,984	100,566		
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	27,030	24,164		
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 509,968	\$ 461,587		
	===============			
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY				
CURRENT LIABILITIES:				
Current portion of long-term indebtedness	\$ 127			
Accounts payable	30,256	28,742		
Accrued taxes	5,568	3,700		
Accrued liabilities	58,341	3,700 75,434		
Accrued retirement account contribution	3,173			
Net current liabilities of discontinued business		9,939		
Total current liabilities	107,877	120,954		
LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS	102,681	102,816		
 DTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	14,214	12,901		
 POSTRETIREMENT BENEFIT OBLIGATION		44,459		
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Notes 17 and 18)				
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:				
Preferred stock, nonvoting, par value \$.01 per share- Authorized, 25,000 shares				
Outstanding, -0- shares				
Common stock, par value \$.01 per share-				
Authorized, 100,000,000 shares				
Issued, 32,449,154 and 29,895,159 shares, respectively	324	299		
Capital in excess of par value	170,223			
Less-Common stock, 16,253 and 100,298 shares, respectively,	-,==3	,		
in treasury, at cost	(179)	(1,103)		
Retained earnings	73,195	41,288		
Less-Deferred compensation	(2,843)			
Cumulative translation adjustment	(1, 825)	(5,409)		
Total stockholders' equity	238,895			
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		\$ 461,587		
IVIAL LIADILIIES AND SIVUAULDERS EQUIT	\$ 509,968 ============			

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

	For the Y	ears Ended De	cember 31,
(Dollars in Thousands - Except Per Share Data)	1995	1994	1993
IET SALES	\$ 454,076	\$ 483,304	\$ 494,868
DPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES:			
Cost of sales	300,933	316,661	310,618
Gross profit	153,143	166,643	184,250
Selling and marketing -	52,662	63,626	66,675
General and administrative	27,070	32,637	31,895
Research and development	8,383	12,533	17,652
Restructuring and severance	1,200	26,996	4,950
Amortization	8,245	8,836	9,342
Income from operations	55,583	22,015	53,736
THER (INCOME) AND EXPENSES:			
Interest expense	6,242	10,757	11,497
Patent litigation settlement			(7,000
Other, net	(5,286)	(751)	(126)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	54,627	12,009	49,365
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	22,720	7,758	20,162
Income from continuing operations	31,907	4,251	29,203
DISCONTINUED OPERATION: Loss from operations of discontinued business, net of income taxes Estimated loss on disposal of discontinued business, net of income taxes		(6,055) (49,050)	(13,524)
Loss from discontinued operation		(55,105)	(13,524)
EXTRAORDINARY ITEM: Loss on the extinguishment of debt, net of taxes		(1,655)	
		(1,055)	
IET INCOME (LOSS)	\$ 31,907	\$ (52,509)	\$ 15,679
			============
NCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE - FULLY DILUTED:	¢ 0.07	<b>*</b> 0.10	• • • • • •
From continuing operations From discontinued operation	\$ 0.97	\$ 0.13 (1.77)	\$ 0.93 (0.43
From extinguishment of debt		(0.05)	(0.43
Net income (loss)	\$0.97 ========	\$ (1.69)	\$ 0.50
leighted average shares outstanding (in thousands)	32,965	31,079	31,522
sergineed atomage shares ourseanding (in chousands)	02,000	01,010	01,022

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements

		Fo	r the Years	Ended Decem	ber 31, 1995,	1994 and 1993	
(Dollars in Thousands)	Common Stock	Capital in Excess of Par Value- Common Stock	Stock Warrants	Treasury Stock	Retained Earnings	Deferred Compensation	Cumulative Translation Adjustment
BALANCE, December 31, 1992 Net Income	\$289	\$138,328 	\$	\$ (1,347) 	\$ 78,118 15,679	\$	(\$5,660)
Stock options exercised (166,800 shares) Warrants exercised (200,000 warrants) Treasury stock issued	1 2	940 1,614	(15)				
(22,110 common shares) Tax benefit resulting from the exercise		111		243			
of disqualified stock options Foreign currency translation adjustment		957					(3,391)
BALANCE, December 31, 1993	292	141,950		(1,104)	93,797		(9,051)
Net loss	 7				(52,509)		
Stock options exercised (666,800 shares) Treasury stock issued (40 common shares) Tax benefit resulting from the exercise		2,578		1			
of disqualified stock options Foreign currency translation adjustment		854					3,642
BALANCE, December 31, 1994	299	145,382		(1,103)	41,288		(5,409)
Net income Stock options exercised					31,907		
(2,553,995 shares) Treasury stock issued	25	12,812					
(1,570 common shares) Restricted stock award (82,475 common shares)		3 268		17 907		(1,175)	
Issuance of nonqualified stock options (1,500,000 shares)		200		501		(1,170)	
-excess of fair value over exercise price Recognition of deferred compensation		3,188				(3,188) 1,520	
Tax benefit resulting from the exercise of disqualified stock options Foreign currency translation adjustment		8,570					3,584
BALANCE, December 31, 1995	\$324 \$324	\$170,223	\$0	\$ (179)	\$ 73,195	\$ (2,843)	\$(1,825)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

	For the Y	ears Ended	December 31
Dollars in Thousands)	1995	1994	1993
ASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACIVITIES:			
et income (loss)	\$ 31,907	\$(52,509)	\$ 15,679
djustment to reconcile net income (loss) to cash provided by operating activities:	· ,		. ,
Extraordinary loss on the extinguishment of debt, net of income taxes		1,655	
Depreciation and amortization	18,505	17,395	17,086
Postretirement benefit obligation	1,842	2,123	2,304
Deferred income taxes, net	6,250	(15,869)	(1,459)
Deferred compesation	1,520		
Estimated loss on disposal of discontinued business, net of income taxes anges in certain assets and liabilities:		49,050	
ccounts receivables, net	8,767	3,775	3,638
nventories	11,387	8,273	(7,702)
ccounts payable and accrued liabilities	(14,896)	27,188	5,746
ccrued taxes	10,417	(4,616)	(874)
repaid expenses and other current assets	(533)	1,459	(1,853)
other long-term liabilities	1,313	886	525
eceivable from patent litigation			(4,000)
Net cash flow provided by operating activities	76,479	38,810	29,090
ASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: Purchase of property, plant and equipment Purchase of short-term marketable securities Proceeds from sale of short-term marketable securities Investment in other assets Change in net assets/liabilities of discontinued business	(6,164)  (1,145) 474	(14,004) (42,327) 58,897 (1,182) 9,776	(8,697) (24,700) 48,065 (2,781) (17,845)
Net cash flow (used for) provided by investing activities		11,160	(5,958)
ASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:	(0,000)		(0,000)
Payments on debt	(510)	(53,119)	(2,753)
Redemption premium		(1,340)	
roceeds from issuance of term debt			1,529
et proceeds from the issuance of common stock	12,837	2,585	941
etirement of debentures			(8,238)
Net cash flow provided by (used for) financing activities	12,327	(51,874)	(8,521)
FECT OF EXCHANGE RATE CHANGES ON CASH			
AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(538)	4,505	(936)
T INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	81,433	2,601	13,675
	21 511	2,601 28,910	15,235
ASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of year	31, 311	-,	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this statement

#### 1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

# Nature of Operations

AMSCO International, Inc. (the "Company") develops, manufactures, distributes and services infection control, decontamination and surgical products. The Company's infection control and decontamination products, which include sterilizers, washing equipment, accessories and related consumable items, are used by hospital, research, scientific and industrial professionals to prevent the spread of infectious diseases and biological contamination. The Company's general surgical tables, lights and stainless steel OR products are used by the healthcare providers in both hospitals and outpatient surgical settings. In addition, the Company's acquisition of Finn-Aqua in 1992 added complementary products for the pharmaceutical and biotechnology industries, including lyophilizers (freeze-drying systems), multiple- and single-effect water stills, GMP sterilizers and pure steam generators and condensers. The Company complements its equipment businesses by providing repair and maintenance services. The Company's approximately 900-member field service organization (as of December 31, 1995) provides OEM repair and maintenance for the Company's infection control, decontamination, and surgical equipment as well as third-party repair, maintenance and management services for a wide variety of types and makes of medical equipment installed primarily in hospitals. The Company derives approximately 60.0% of its gross sales from equipment products and 40.0% from service and consumable products. Total export sales from the United States were less than 10.0% of consolidated net sales in recent years.

As of February 8, 1996 the Company employed 501 persons, or 16.8% of its total workforce, who are covered by collective bargaining agreements. Approximately 24 of these employees are covered by agreements that will expire before December 31, 1996.

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company operates on a 52-53 week fiscal year ending on the Sunday nearest December 31. The 1995, 1994 and 1993 fiscal periods were each comprised of 52 weeks.

#### Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, as determined principally on the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method, or market. Inventory costs include material, labor and overhead.

#### Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Expenditures for ordinary maintenance and repairs are charged to income, and renewals and betterments are capitalized. Upon disposal of property items, the asset and related accumulated depreciation accounts are relieved of the amounts recorded therein for such items and any resulting gain or loss is reflected currently in income. The Company provides for depreciation over the estimated useful lives of plant and equipment, principally on the straight-line method.

# Product Warranty

Normal recurring warranty costs are charged to income as incurred. Product design correction costs are recognized on the accrual basis.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are charged to expense as incurred.

#### Receivables - Retainage

Sales contracts for some of the Company's commercial products involve retainage which is included in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Based on the Company's experience with similar contracts in recent years, 100% of the receivable retention balances of \$2,758,000 and \$2,896,000 at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively, is expected to be collected during the subsequent year.

## Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other long-term liabilities include amounts reserved for supplemental retirement benefits and for deductibles under the Company's various self-insurance programs.

#### Foreign Currency Translation

The accounts of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are recorded in the currency of the country in which they operate. The Company applies Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 relative to the translation of foreign currency financial statements into U.S. dollars and the accounting for foreign currency transactions. Under this statement, all balance sheet accounts except stockholders' equity are translated at current exchange rates, and revenue and expense items are translated at rates of exchange prevailing during the year. Gains and losses resulting from the translation of foreign currency financial statements are reflected in the cumulative translation adjustment component of stockholders' equity.

# Significant Group Concentrations of Credit Risk

As of December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively, approximately \$72,688,000 and \$76,100,000 or 56% and 55% of the Company's trade receivables are from healthcare or healthcare-related institutions.

#### Revenue Recognition

The Company's net sales include revenues earned on product sales and on related after-sales and third-party service contracts. The Company recognizes revenue on product sales upon shipment directly to or a location designated by the customer. After-sales and third-party service contract revenues are recognized upon completion of the work. Advance billings for products or service work are recorded as deferred revenue until earned.

# Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

# Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the Company's prior year financial statements to agree with current year classifications.

Statements of Cash Flows

For purposes of the statements of cash flows, the Company considers all highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at acquisition to be cash equivalents.

Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:

	December 31,					
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994		1993
Cash paid during the year for: Interest	\$	4,807	\$	11,215	\$	9,743
Income taxes	\$	14,452	\$	10,591	\$	12,857
Other: Extinguishment of 12.5% Debentures as consideration for warrant exercise	\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,600

# 2. PENDING MERGER:

The Company entered into an agreement to merge with a newly-formed, wholly-owned subsidiary ("Merger Sub") of STERIS Corporation ("STERIS") in accordance with the provisions of an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the "Merger Agreement"), dated December 16, 1995 and restated as of February 21, 1996. Pursuant to the provisions of the Merger Agreement, the Merger Sub will be merged with and into the Company, with the Company being the surviving corporation (the "Merger"). As a result of the Merger, the Company will become a wholly-owned subsidiary of STERIS. As of December 31, 1995, STERIS had total assets of approximately \$70.0 million, and STERIS's net sales for the nine months ended December 31, 1995 were \$65.6 million.

The Merger will become effective on the date and at such time as the Certificate of Merger, in such form as is required by, and executed in accordance with, the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware, is filed with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware (the "Effective Time").

The transaction is intended to be accounted for under the pooling-of-interests method. At the Effective Time of the Merger, among other things, each outstanding share of the Company's common stock will be converted

into the right to receive .46 common shares of STERIS stock. The Merger is subject to, among other things, receiving appropriate regulatory approvals and an affirmative majority vote for the merger by the STERIS common shareholders and the Company's common stockholders.

The Merger Agreement may be terminated and the Merger abandoned at any time prior to the Effective Time, whether before or after any requisite stockholder approval, (a) by written mutual consent of STERIS and the Company by action of each of the STERIS Board or the Company's Board, or (b) by action of either the STERIS Board or the Company's Board or (b) by action of either the STERIS and the Company be and under certain specified circumstances, including if the merger has not been consummated by July 30, 1996. Each of STERIS and the Company are entitled to receive a \$20.0 million termination fee under certain circumstances if the Merger Agreement is terminated in connection with an acquisition proposal, as defined in the Merger Agreement, involving the other party or the acquisition by a third party of 30% or more of the Company's common stock or STERIS common shares, as the case may be. In connection with a termination of the Merger Agreement under certain other circumstances, the Company and STERIS may be entitled to reimbursement of certain fees and expenses.

In order to induce STERIS to enter into the Merger Agreement, STERIS and the Company entered into a Stock Option Agreement dated December 16, 1995 (the "Stock Option Agreement") pursuant to which STERIS has an option, upon termination of the Merger Agreement by reason of any of the events that give rise to an obligation for the Company to pay STERIS a \$20.0 million termination fee pursuant to the Merger Agreement, to purchase 17.6% of the shares of the Company's common stock issued and outstanding at the time of the exercise. The purchase price for each share will be the greater of (a) the market price (as defined therein) of the Company's common stock on the date of purchase minus the quotient of \$10.0 million divided by the number of shares subject to option, and (b) \$19.435 (the "Deal Price"); except that if the Company issues or agrees to issue any shares of the Company's common stock (except pursuant to existing options or rights) at a price less than the Deal Price after the date of the Stock Option Agreement, such lesser price will be substituted for the Deal Price.

The Company has employment and/or severance agreements with several of its key senior executives that become operative upon a change of control event, as defined in those agreements. In addition, the Company's incentive stock option plan and certain restricted stock and nonqualified option agreements with employees provide for immediate vesting of such shares or options upon a change of control event, as defined in those plans and agreements. The charge to income associated with these agreements would be approximately \$12.0 million, including accelerated recognition of the remaining unamortized deferred compensation under certain of the agreements. It is anticipated that this charge will be recorded by the Company upon the affirmative majority vote for the Merger by the STERIS shareholders and the Company's stockholders.

The Company's management expects that the proposed Merger will have a material effect on the Company's results of operations and liquidity and capital resources. These include, but are not limited to, the effect of transaction costs, plant or other asset rationalization costs, executive severance arrangements and other related costs of combining STERIS and the Company. Furthermore, as a result of the change in control that is contemplated by the Merger Agreement, each holder of AMSCO's \$100,000,000 4.5%-6.5% Step-Up Convertible Subordinated Debentures (the "Debentures") due October 15, 2002 at 100% will have the right to "put" their Debentures to the Company for cash at face value plus accrued interest through the redeption date.

# 3. INVENTORIES:

Inventories of the Company consist of the following:

	December 31,						
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994			
Raw materials Work-in-process Finished goods	\$	18,928 13,193 24,243	\$	21,417 21,199 24,912			
Total	\$	56,364	\$	67,528			

If the FIFO method of inventory costing had been used by the Company, inventories would have been \$10,327,000 and \$10,020,000 higher than those reported at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively.

As a result of the application of purchase accounting in prior years, the book value of inventory differs from its underlying tax basis. At December 31, 1995 and 1994, LIFO inventories for book purposes exceeded those for tax purposes by \$15,970,000 and \$15,228,000, respectively.

4. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:

Property, plant and equipment of the Company consists of the following:

	December 31,				
(Dollars in Thousands)	1995 1994				
Assets (Asset Lives) Land and land improvements (12 years) Buildings and leasehold improvements (10-50 years) Machinery and equipment (3-12 years)	\$ 1,492 \$ 1,440 44,657 43,410 84,998 83,244				
Total Less-Accumulated depreciation	\$ 131,147 \$ 128,094 54,887 48,608				
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 76,260 \$ 79,486	=			

5. INTANGIBLES: Intangible assests of the Company consist of the following:

Decembe	er 31,
1995	1994
\$81,566	\$ 81,419
13,829	17,700
589	1,447
\$95,984	\$100,566
	13,829 589

The Company currently amortizes intangible assets, including goodwill, over lives ranging from 3-40 years. The Company continually evaluates whether events and circumstances have occurred that indicate the remaining estimated useful life of any intangible may warrant revision or that the remaining balance of the intangible may not be recoverable. When factors indicate that the intangibles should be evaluated for possible impairment, the Company uses an estimate of the related business segment's undiscounted cash flow from operations over the remaining life to determine recoverability. Based upon the Company's evaluation, there were no impairments of intangible assets requiring recognition in the Company's consolidated financial position or results of operations for 1995.

In March 1995, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 121. "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of.". SFAS No. 121 is required to be adopted in 1996. This statement requires review and measurement methods to calculate impairment of long-lived assets, including certain identifiable intangibles and goodwill, whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Based on the Company's evaluation, the effect of adopting this new standard will be immaterial to the financial position and results of operations of the Company.

#### 6. INCOME TAXES:

The Company records the effect of income taxes in accordance with the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes."

Income before income taxes, as shown in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, includes the following components:

	December 31,					
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994		1993
Domestic Foreign	\$	54,479 148	\$	19,096 (7,087)	\$	48,574 791
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	\$	54,627	\$	12,009	\$	49,365

Taxes on income, as shown in the accompanying consolidated statements of income, include the following components:

	December 31,						
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994		1993	
Current provision- Federal State Foreign	\$	19,617 2,331 1,159	\$	18,465 3,988 1,174	\$	17,317 3,314 770	
Total current provision Deferred credit		23,107 (387)		23,627 (15,869)		21,401 (1,239)	
Total provision for income taxes	\$	22,720	\$	7,758	\$	20,162	

The income tax rate on income before taxes differs from the federal statutory rate for the following reasons:

	December 31,					
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994		1993
Tax provision based on the U.S. federal statutory tax rate State taxes, net of federal income	\$	19,119	\$	4,203	\$	17,278
tax benefit Foreign sales corporation tax benefit Amortization of excess cost		1,515 (94)		934 (141)		2,154 (61)
over net assets aquired Valuation allowance on foreign losses Difference in foreign tax rates All other, net		825 486 525 344		573 1,702 590 (103)		842 1,371 - (1,422)
Total provision	 \$ =====		\$	7,758	\$ ====	20,162

The components of and changes in the deferred tax assets and liabilities recorded in the accompanying balance sheets at December 31 1995 and 1994, were as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31, 1995	Deferred Expense (Credit)	December 31, 1994	Deferred Expense (Credit)	December 31, 1993
DEFERRED TAX ASSETS					
Reserves recorded for- Employee benefit programs Insurance programs Costs associated with acquisitions and other Product costs and upgrades Net operating loss carryforward of foreign subsidiaries Tax benefit resulting from purchase accounting Other	<ul> <li>22,605</li> <li>3,706</li> <li>699</li> <li>5,501</li> <li>9,419</li> <li>2,051</li> <li>10,762</li> </ul>	\$ (524) (344) (59) 247 (968)  1,659	\$ 22,081 5 3,362 640 5,748 8,451 2,051 12,421	(811) 516 (4,001) (1,753)	20,573 2,551 1,156 1,747 6,698 2,051 1,256
Total deferred tax assets	54,743		54,754		36,032
DEFERRED TAX LIABILITIES Excess of book basis over tax basis of- Plant and equipment Intangibles Inventory Other	(8,612) (1,161) (6,548) (4,726)	45 (1,234) 305 	(8,567) (2,395) (6,243) (4,726)	427 (926) 558 1,092	(8,140) (3,321) (5,685) (3,634)
Total deferred tax liabilities	(21,047)	-	(21,931)		(20,780)
Gross deferred taxes Valuation allowance	33,696 (6,489)	486	32,823 (6,003)	1,702	15,252 (4,301)
Net deferred taxes Reconciliation to the balance sheets- Other deferred tax assets Current portion of deferred taxes, net	27,207 6,492 (6,669)	387	26,820 13,130 (15,786)	(15,869)	10,951  83
Long-term deferred taxes, net	\$27,030		\$ 24,164	\$	11,034

The Company has generated book pretax income from continuing operations of \$54,627,000, \$12,009,000 and \$49,365,000 in 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. Except for the effects of the reversal of net deductible temporary differences, the Company is not aware of any factors which would cause any significant differences between book and taxable income in future years. Although there can be no assurances that the Company will generate any earnings or specific level of continuing earnings in any jurisdiction, management believes that it is more likely than not that the net deductible differences will reverse during periods when the Company generates sufficient net taxable income, and that sufficient taxable income will be generated in foreign jurisdictions to permit utilization of related net operating loss carryforwards to the extent recorded at December 31, 1995. In connection therewith, the Company has established a valuation allowance for a portion of the net operating loss carryforwards have an unlimited carryforward period. Any future reductions in foreign tax payments due to the utilization of tax benefits resulting from purchase accounting will be recorded as a reduction to goodwill.

## 7. LONG-TERM INDEBTEDNESS:

Long-term indebtedness of the Company consists of the following;

		31,			
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994	
<pre>4.5%/6.5% Step-Up Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2002 Other term debt including capitalized lease obligations</pre>	\$	100,000 2,808	\$	100,000 3,555	-
Total Less-Current portion		102,808 127		103,555 739	-
Long-term indebtedness	\$	102,681	\$	102,816	

Effective October 15, 1992, the Company concluded the public offering of \$100,000,000 of 4.5%/6.5% Step-Up Convertible Subordinated Debentures due October 15, 2002, at 100%, unless previously redeemed or converted (the "Debentures"). As part of the public offering of the Debentures, the Company executed an indenture with the Bank of New York, as trustee (the "Indenture"). Interest on the Debentures is paid semiannually on April 15 and October 15. Interest on the Debentures accrued at the rate of 4.5% per annum from and including the date of issuance to October 15, 1995, to maturity or earlier redemption. The Company has provided interest at the effective rate of 5.78%.

The Debentures are convertible, unless previously redeemed, at any time prior to maturity into shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, of the Company at a conversion price of \$30.10 principal amount per share, subject to adjustment under certain circumstances. The Debentures are redeemable at the option of the Company in certain instances, in whole or in part, beginning October 15, 1995, at the defined redemption prices ranging from 104.12% in 1995 to 100.69% in 2002, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

Holders of the Debentures have certain rights upon a change in control of the Company, as defined in the Indenture. The proposed Merger (see Note 2) will constitute a change in control for purposes of the Indenture. As a result, at the Effective Time of the Merger, in accordance with the Indenture, the Company will have 30 days to give notice of such change in control to all holders of the Debentures. Upon notification, a Debenture holder may exercise a put option that requires the Company to redeem such Debentures for cash at face value plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption. The date of redemption is defined as being 45 days after notification by the Company of a change in control. Exercise of the put option by the holder is irrevocable, except that the holder who submits such Debenture will retain the right to convert such Debenture into common stock up to the close of business on the redemption date.

On July 13, 1992, American Sterilizer Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a Note Agreement with Prudential Insurance Company of America and issued its 8.34% Senior Note due July 13, 2004 (the "Senior Note") in the aggregate principal amount of \$50,000,000. The Senior Note represented an unsecured obligation and was unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. Effective June 17, 1994, the Senior

Note agreement was amended to allow the discontinued operations charge and subsequent asset sale related to the divestiture of the Company's AMSCO Sterile Recoveries, Inc. ("ASRI") subsidiary (see Note 20). The maturity of the Senior Note was not affected by this amendment; however, the interest rate was increased by 45 basis points from 8.34% to 8.79%. On December 20, 1994, utilizing cash reserves, the Company extinguished the Senior Note. Extinguishment costs of \$1,064,000 (net of income taxes of \$739,500), which included unamortized debt issuance costs and a prepayment premium, were reflected as a component of the extraordinary charge in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 1994.

During December of 1994, the Company terminated its bank credit agreement ("Credit Agreement"), which included a revolving credit facility in the maximum principal amount of \$90,000,000 and was available for working capital purposes or acquisitions. The provisions of the Credit Agreement provided for early termination without penalty. In connection with the termination of the Credit Agreement, unamortized debt issuance costs of \$591,200 (net of income taxes of \$410,900) were reflected as a component of the extraordinary charge in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 1994.

Covenants pertaining to the Debentures, in addition to the typical requirements to pay principal, interest and any premium thereon when due, include cross-default provisions in the case of any acceleration of debt under any agreement greater than \$10,000,000; the rendering of any final uninsured judgments against the Company in excess of amounts as defined in the agreement; and acceleration provisions in the event of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization related to the Company or any of its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 1995, retained earnings were not restricted under the Company's debt agreement.

Scheduled maturities of the Company's long-term indebtedness for each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows:

(Dollars in Thousands)	Dec	December 31,				
1996	\$	127				
1997		491				
1998		354				
1999		206				
2000		185				
2001 and thereafter		101,445				
Total	 \$	102,808				
		=======				

# 8. AMSCO EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT ACCOUNT:

The Company administers the AMSCO Employees' Retirement Account (the "Plan"), which is a qualified employee stock ownership plan.

The Plan enables eligible employees, including management, to receive an equity participation in the Company. Contributions declared by the Board of Directors, up to a maximum of 25% of eligible employee compensation, are made to the Plan. The Plan in turn uses the funds to purchase shares of the Company's stock or make investments in certain other securities.

Forfeitures resulting from a one-year break-in-service for an employee are used to reduce the Company's required contribution. If any participants are rehired within a five-year break-in-service period, the Plan requires restoration of any amounts which were forfeited. These restorations would be the responsibility of the Company.

The accompanying balance sheets reflect accrued contributions to the Plan in the amount of \$3,173,000 and \$2,400,000 at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively. Contribution expense, net of the forfeitures noted above, amounted to \$3,123,000, \$2,404,000 and \$2,525,000 in 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively.

#### 9. STOCK WARRANTS:

Warrants to purchase the Company's common stock at \$8 per share, which were originally issued in connection with a private placement offering of common stock in June 1988, were exercised during 1993 in connection with the redemption of the 12.5% Debentures. This redemption, which totaled \$9,838,000 in face value, was funded by utilizing \$8,238,000 of the Company's current investments and proceeds from the exercise of 200,000 of the Company's outstanding \$8 warrants. The premium, which amounted to 6.75% or \$492,000, has been included as "Other, net" in the accompanying consolidated statement of income for the year ended December 31, 1993.

#### 10. STOCK OPTIONS AND RESTRICTED STOCK AWARD:

The Company sponsors a stock option plan whereby option holders may purchase the Company's common stock at its fair market value as of the date of grant (the "Stock Option Plan"). Options currently outstanding entitle the holders to purchase common stock at prices ranging between \$2 and \$26.50 per share, subject to adjustment. The right to exercise these options expires 10 years from the date of grant, or earlier if an option holder ceases to be employed by the Company for any reason.

The Stock Option Plan gives the option holders certain accelerated vesting rights upon a change in control of the Company. Effective December 16, 1995, the Company's Board of Directors determined that the execution of the Merger Agreement will, or is likely to result, if the Merger is consummated, in a change of control of the Company. As of that date, all unvested options issued under the Stock Option Plan became 100% vested.

Effective July 11, 1995, the Company entered into an employment agreement with its new chief executive officer ("CEO") that included the granting of 1,500,000 nonqualified stock options at a discounted exercise price of \$12.125. 1,000,000 of the stock options are performance-based and vest if the Company's common stock achieves certain market value criteria prior to July 11, 2000. During the third quarter of 1995, 500,000 of these performance-based options vested because the average fair market value of the Company's common stock exceeded \$18.1875 over a period of 90 consecutive days. The remaining 500,000 performance-based options will vest in their entirety if the average fair market value of the Company's common stock exceeded \$18.1875 over a period of 90 consecutive days. The remaining 500,000 performance-based options will vest by reason of passage of time under a vesting schedule over varying time periods ranging from five to seven years (unless previously vested as a result of the Company's common stock performance). The employment arrangement referred to above also included an award of 82,475 shares of restricted stock of the Company. Based on the terms of the award, this stock vests to the CEO ratably and monthly during a 24-month period. A summary of the stock option activity follows:

Summary of Stock Options

Year Ended December 31,	\$2-\$9.625	\$10-\$12.50	\$16.50- \$17.875	\$19.875- \$26.50	Total
1992 Options:	3,087,500	596,000	747,875	559,000	4,990,375
Granted Canceled Exercised	  (128,475)	27,500 (9,875) (36,575)	147,800 (26,375) (1,750)	1,500 (20,500) 	176,800 (56,750) (166,800)
1993 0ational	2,959,025	577,050	867,550	540,000	4,943,625
Options: Granted Canceled Exercised	1,569,000 (218,250) (666,800)	(51,625) 	(250,830) 	(32,100)	1,569,000 (552,805) (666,800)
1994 Options: Granted	3,642,975	525,425	616,720	507,900	5,293,020
Canceled Exercised	(85,295) (2,321,080)	(39,200) (187,500)	(22,340) (45,415)	(36,900)	(183,735) (2,553,995)
Subtotal	1,236,600	298,725	548,965	471,000	2,555,290
Grant of nonqualified options not pursuant to the Stock Option Plan		1,500,000			1,500,000
1995	1,236,600	1,798,725	548,965	471,000	4,055,290

There were 417,865 shares reserved for future grants under the Stock Option Plan at December 31,1995.

Upon granting the stock options and awarding the restricted stock to the CEO, the Company recorded approximately \$4,400,000 of deferred compensation expense, which is being amortized over defined vesting schedules. In the event of an accelerated vesting of any portion of the performance-based options, the Company is required to record immediately any unrecognized compensation expense related to such options. During the third quarter of 1995, the Company recorded an approximate \$1,000,000 charge to earnings because of the accelerated vesting (during the quarter) of the 500,000 options discussed above. This charge was reflected as general and administrative expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of income.

The vesting of these stock options and restricted stock also accelerate, when a change in control event (as defined in those agreements) occurs. The pending Merger would represent a change in control event upon the consummation of the Merger following the approval of the transaction by a majority of STERIS shareholders and AMSCO stockholders.

11. INCOME PER COMMON SHARE:

Net income per share is calculated by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common shares plus incremental common stock equivalent shares (shares issuable upon exercise of stock options). Incremental common stock equivalent shares are calculated for each measurement period based on the treasury stock method, which uses the monthly average market price per share.

Fully diluted net income per share is calculated based upon the weighted average number of common shares, plus incremental common stock shares calculated upon the higher of the period average or period-end market value of the Company's stock. The Company's convertible debentures were antidilutive; therefore, no conversion of these securities was assumed.

The weighted average common shares and common share equivalents were as follows:

		December 31	1
	1995	1994	1993
Primary Fully Diluted	32,866,357 32,964,853	31,030,828 31,079,252	31,433,797 31,521,865

# 12. PENSION PLAN:

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan which covers substantially all domestic union employees and provides pension benefits of stated amounts for each year of service of the employee. The Company also has defined benefit plans which cover substantially all union and nonunion employees of the Company's subsidiaries in Finland and Germany, as well as certain other foreign distribution entities. The plan provides pension benefits of stated amounts for each year of service of the employee. The Company's funding methodologies differ from those used to recognize pension expense in the accompanying financial statements.

The initial net asset for the U.S. plan is being amortized and recognized as a component of net periodic pension cost on a straight-line basis over 15 years.

Net periodic pension cost includes the following components:

					C	ecember 31,				
			1995			1994			1993	
(Dollars in Thousands)		U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total
Service cost: benefits earned during the period	\$	456 \$	129 \$	585 \$	553 \$	\$ 135 \$	688 \$	464 \$	139 \$	603
Interest cost on projected	Ť									
benefit obligation Actual (return) loss on assets Net amortization		2,067 (7,572)	96 (15)	2,163 (7,587)	1,885 1,733	92 (17)	1,977 1,716	1,863 (1,154)	229 (14)	2,092 (1,168)
and deferral		5,968	-	5,968	(3,571)	-	(3,571)	(686)	-	(686)
Net periodic pension cost	\$	919 \$	210 \$	1,129 \$	600 \$	5 210 \$	810 \$	487 \$	354 \$	841

For the U.S. plan, a weighted average discount rate of 7.25%, 8.5% and 7.25% was used in determining the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The expected long-term rates of return on assets at the respective measurement dates were 7.5% at December 31, 1995, 1994 and 1993. For the foreign plan, an average discount rate of 4.25%, 6.0% and 6.0% was used in determining the actuarial present value of the projected benefit obligation at December 31, 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The expected long-term rate of return on assets at the respective measurement date was 6.0%, 10.0% and 9.0% at December 31, 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. U.S. plan assets consist primarily of common stocks, corporate bonds, U.S. government obligations, temporary investments and private placement investments, while foreign plan assets consist of bonds.

The following table sets forth the pension plan's funded status and amounts recognized in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets:

	December 31,					
		1995			1994	
(Dollars in Thousands)	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total
Actuarial present value of benefit obligations: Vested Nonvested	\$ (28,598) \$ (1,110)	\$ (1,529) \$ -		(23,785) (912)	\$ (1,445) \$ -	6 (25,230) (912)
Projected benefit obligation (equal to the accumulated benefit obligation) Plan assets at fair value	(29,708) 30,527	(1,529) 252	(31,237) 30,779	(24,697) 23,751	(1,445) 237	(26,142) 23,988
Plan assets greater (less) than projected benefit obligation Unamortized initial net asset Unrecognized net (gain) loss Unrecognized prior service cost	819 (1,387) (1,814) 2,685	(1,277) - - -	(458) (1,387) (1,814) 2,685	(946) (1,435) 353 2,113	(1,208) - - -	(2,154) (1,435) 353 2,113
(Accrued) prepaid pension cost	\$ 303	\$ (1,277) \$	\$ (974) \$	85	\$ (1,208) \$	(1,123)

# 13. POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS:

The Company provides certain retiree healthcare benefits covering a majority of all domestic employees. Employees are generally eligible for benefits upon retirement and completion of a specified number of years of creditable service. The Company does not pre-fund these benefits and has the right to modify or terminate certain of these plans in the future.

Postretirement benefit expense was \$4,240,000, \$4,417,000 and \$4,182,000 in 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. The components of expense in 1995, 1994 and 1993 were as follows:

	December 31,						
(Dollars in Thousands)		1995		1994		1993	
Service costs of benefits earned during the period	\$	681	\$	1,125	\$	1,041	
Interest cost on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation		3,559		3,292		3,141	
Net postretirement benefit costs	\$	4,240	\$	4,417	\$	4,182	

The accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 1995 and 1994, which is reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, is comprised of the following components:

	Decemb	er	31,
(Dollars in Thousands)	 1995		1994
Retirees Fully eligible active plan participants Other active plan participants	\$ 28,945 8,123 15,755		7,261
Total postretirement benefit obligation Unrecognized prior service costs Unrecognized net (loss) gain	 52,823 1,393 (5,515)		42,018 - 4,771
Total Less-Current portion	 48,701 (2,400)		,
Long-term postretirement benefit obligation ====================================	\$ 46,301	\$	44,459

Future benefit costs were estimated assuming medical costs would increase on a weighted average basis at approximately an 11% annual rate (9% in 1994 and 1993), decreasing to approximately a 6% (6% in 1994 and 5% in 1993) annual growth rate ratably over the next six years (five years in 1994 and six years in 1993) and then remaining at a 4.5% (5% in 1994 and 1993) annual growth rate thereafter. A 1% increase in this annual trend rate would have increased the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation at December 31, 1995, by \$6,340,000 and increased the 1995 postretirement benefit expense by \$490,000. The weighted average discount rate used to estimate the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was 7.25% for 1995 and 8.5% for 1994.

#### 14. DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS:

The estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments are as follows:

	December 31,						
	1	L995	1994				
(Dollars in Thousands)	Carrying Amount	Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Fair Value			
Long-term debt 4.5%/6.5% Step-Up Convertible Subordinated Debentures due 2002 Other debt Foreign currency contracts	\$ 100,000 2,808 3	\$ 96,500 3,051 (3)	\$ 100,000 2,897 27	\$ 75,500 2,765 57			

The fair value of the Company's long-term debt is estimated based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issues or on the estimated current rates available to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities. The fair value of the foreign currency contracts (used for hedging purposes) was estimated by obtaining a quote from a broker.

The Company enters into certain types of foreign exchange contracts in order to manage its foreign exchange risk, as indicated in the above table. The Company enters into the forward exchange contracts to hedge against certain intercompany financing arrangements with its German subsidiary. The purpose of the Company's hedging activities is to protect the Company from the risk that the eventual dollar net cash inflows resulting from the collection of certain outstanding intercompany loans will be adversely affected by changes in exchange rates.

The contractual amounts of the Company's forward exchange contracts represent the U.S. dollar equivalent of commitments to sell foreign currencies (principally the German mark). The Company's deferred gains and losses are included on a net basis in the consolidated balance sheets as other assets or accrued liabilities. The Company recognizes gains or losses in the cumulative translation adjustment component of stockholders' equity due to the long-term nature of the intercompany indebtedness with the German subsidiary. Likewise, the German subsidiary applies Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 52 relative to the translation of the foreign indebtedness and records the mark-to-market changes in the cumulative translation adjustment component of stockholders' equity. Derivative financial instruments are utilized by the Company, as explained in this note. The Company does not hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes.

# 15. RESTRUCTURING AND SEVERANCE:

Effective July 11, 1995, the Company granted certain severance benefits to its departing president and chief executive officer. These benefits include the making of severance payments and the provision of certain health, welfare and other benefits for a period of three years. The value of these benefits is approximately \$1,200,000 and is not dependent upon the provision of future services. In addition, during 1994 and 1993, the Company implemented

certain cost containment measures in order to continue to streamline and reorganize certain operations commensurate with the healthcare market environment that prevailed at that time. The cost containment measures recorded in 1994 included work force reductions resulting in severance pay for terminated employees, abandoned lease expense and asset write-offs associated with the relocation and centralization of the Company's corporate headquarters to an existing location in Pittsburgh and restructuring of certain international distribution entities, manufacturing facilities and operations consolidations associated with a realignment of the Company's manufacturing facilities, computer hardware and software asset write-offs associated with the removal of certain obsolete business systems which became obsolete as a result of the restructuring, and costs associated with implementing programs to validate the Company's processes for collecting, recording and submitting data to the United States Food & Drug Administration. The cost containment measures recorded in 1993 included work force reductions resulting in severance pay for terminated employees and lease abandonments and other related relocation costs resulting from the planned centralization of certain field operations. As a result, the Company incurred severance and related costs of \$1,200,000, \$26,996,000, and \$4,950,000 in 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively.

#### 16. LEASE COMMITMENTS:

Rental expense under all leases was approximately \$10,051,000, \$11,920,000 and \$13,247,000 for the years ended December 31, 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively. Operating leases relate principally to warehouse and office space, service facilities, vehicles, equipment and communication systems.

Minimum annual rentals payable under noncancelable leases in each of the next five years are as follows:

(Dollars in Tousands)	December 31,
1996	\$ 5,790
1997	3,598
1998	1,646
1999	1,432
2000	1,132
<b>T</b> - 4 - 1	
Total	\$13,598
	=============================

The Company has certain leases that pertain to abandoned facilities that are subleased to third parties. This rental income, which has been used to reduce the obligations reflected in the above table amounted to \$685,000, \$625,000, \$501,000, \$424,000 and \$360,000 in the years 1996 through 2000, respectively.

## 17. LITIGATION:

In connection with the Merger, as discussed in Note 2, a complaint purporting to be a class action on behalf of the Company's stockholders was filed on December 22, 1995 in the Chancery Court of the State of Delaware, New Castle County. The complaint names the Company, STERIS, and each of the directors of the Company, except Richard A. Gilleland, as defendants. The complaint alleges, among other things, that, (a) the Company's board breached its fiduciary duties in considering and approving the Merger Agreement, (b) the STERIS board aided and

abetted the alleged breach of fiduciary duties by the Company's board, (c) the Company's board did not negotiate a provision related to the conversion ratio ensuring that the stockholders receive adequate consideration in the event of a significant drop in the price of STERIS common shares or permitting the Company to terminate the Merger without having to pay a termination fee to STERIS if the price of STERIS common shares dropped significantly, and (d) the consideration offered to the Company's stockholders is inadequate because, under the Merger Agreement, STERIS could acquire Company common stock for less than its market price prior to the announcement of the Merger due to (i) the Merger Agreement providing for a fixed conversion ratio and (ii) a decrease in the value of STERIS common shares following the announcement. Among other things, the complaint seeks (a) preliminarily and permanently to enjoin the Merger and any steps designed to facilitate the Merger, (b) to require the Company's directors to maximize stockholder value by reconsidering the Merger and the conversion ratio and by seeking competitive bids, (c) rescissory relief and/or to recover damages in an unspecified amount if the Merger is consummated, and (d) costs and disbursements of the action. Management believes that the complaint is without merit. The Company intends to vigorously defend the action and presently believes the ultimate outcome will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or results of operations.

The Company, along with approximately 50 other companies or individuals, has been notified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") that it is considered a "potentially responsible party" ("PRP") under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("Superfund Act") with regard to a disposal area known as the Millcreek or Harper Drive site (the "Site"). The Site covers approximately 84.5 acres and is located near Erie, Pennsylvania. The EPA maintains that the Company or its predecessors formerly sent foundry sand and possibly other materials to the Site. The Site has been placed on the Superfund Act National Priorities List of Hazardous Waste Sites and is being remediated under the Superfund Act.

The EPA has undertaken certain Superfund Act response actions at the Site, including the removal of certain wastes and waste containers, the erection of a fence to restrict Site access, the construction of a groundwater collection and treatment system and the performance of a remedial investigation and feasibility study for the Site. EPA alleges that the cost of response actions previously undertaken at the Site by EPA or EPA's contractors is approximately \$13,000,000. In October 1989, EPA commenced a civil action under the Superfund Act to recover its past costs against the owners of the Site and several waste transporters who allegedly transported waste to the Site, United States v. Ralph Riehl, Jr., et al, Civil Action No. 89-226 (W.D. Pa. Erie Division) (the "Cost Recovery Suit"). The Company and other PRPs who allegedly generated wastes that were transported to the Site were named as third-party defendants and then as direct defendants in the Cost Recovery Suit. On February 16, 1993, the Company and various other PRPs filed a third-party complaint in the Cost Recovery Suit against several PRPs not previously named in the litigation.

In February 1993, the Company, together with nine other defendant PRPs ("Group of 10"), reached an agreement in principle with EPA regarding a group settlement of the Cost Recovery Suit. Negotiations with respect to the terms of the group settlement stalled until August 1993. In August 1993, representatives of the Group of 10, together with other PRPs, met with EPA and the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ") officials to discuss settlement of the Cost Recovery Suit. Following this meeting, EPA made a modified settlement offer to the Group of 10 in the amount of \$6,000,000. The terms of the modified settlement offer included EPA's covenant not to sue with respect to operation and maintenance ("0&M") expenses and no requirement that the Group of 10 either

reimburse EPA for 0&M costs or perform 0&M with respect to the site. The Group of 10 accepted the terms of EPA's settlement offer. Throughout much of 1994, the Group of 10 engaged in negotiations with EPA and DOJ regarding the terms of the consent decree that evidences the Group of 10's settlement of the Cost Recovery Suit. As described in greater detail below, Bucyrus-Erie Company ("B-E"), a member of the Group of 10, filed for reorganization under the bankruptcy laws in 1994. As a result, the DOJ and EPA decided to execute a separate but identical consent decree with B-E. In December 1994, the Group of 10 and DOJ reached agreement on the terms of the consent decrees. By letter dated December 28, 1994, the Group of 10 submitted their executed signature pages to the consent decrees to DOJ. By letter dated December 14, 1995, the DOJ lodged the executed consent decrees with the Court pending the outcome of the required 30-day public notice and comment period.

In addition to the response actions already performed at the Site, EPA's "Record of Decision" ("ROD") specifies that certain response actions must be performed at the Site, including the construction of a soil cover over the former disposal area. The Company understands that representatives of EPA have estimated that the cost of the additional response actions will be within the range of \$10,000,000 to \$14,000,000. On April 1, 1992, EPA issued a unilateral administrative order under Section 106 of the Superfund Act ("106 Order") to 37 PRPs, including the Company (the "Respondents"), requiring the Respondents to perform the work specified in the ROD that has not yet been completed by EPA and subjecting the Respondents to potentially substantial statutory fines and statutory "punitive damages" if they willfully fail or refuse to comply with the 106 Order without sufficient cause. Following the issuance of the 106 Order, Company and 18 other Respondents ("Section 106 Order Group") entered into an agreement to coordinate their efforts to respond to the 106 Order. Since the formation of the Section 106 Order Group, one Respondent has withdrawn from the Section 106 Order Group and one Respondent has joined. Throughout 1994, the Section 106 Order Group's project engineer, EPA, the United States Army Corps of Engineers and others engaged in discussions and debates concerning certain design aspects of the remedial design specified in the 106 Order. In January 1995, EPA notified the Section 106 Order Group that significant design disagreements had been resolved in the Section 106 Order Group's favor. To date, the Section 106 Order Group has completed its scheduled obligations under the 106 Order in a timely manner.

In October 1993, the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, now known as the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection ("PaDEP"), commenced a civil action under the Superfund Act seeking recovery of its past costs and a declaration of liability for future costs with respect to the Site against 32 individuals and companies, including the Company, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Department of Environmental Resources v. Ralph Riehl, Jr., et al., Civil Action No. 93-297 (W.D. Pa. Erie Division) ("PaDEP Suit"). Many of the defendants named in the PaDEP Suit are also defendants in the Cost Recovery Suit and are Respondents to the 106 Order. On October 3, 1994, the Company timely filed its answer and counterclaim to the PaDEP's complaint. Throughout 1994, the PaDEP and the Group of 10 exchanged several settlement offers. On February 13, 1995, representatives of the Group of 10 met with representatives of the PaDEP in an attempt to resolve the differences in their respective settlement positions. At the meeting, the PaDEP orally offered to settle with the Group of 10 for \$1,375,878. The Group of 10 responded with a counter-offer of \$900,000. The PaDEP rejected this counter-offer. By letter dated February 16, 1995, the PaDEP offered the Group of 10 its choice of three settlement options: (a) lump-sum payment of \$1,375,878; (b) payment of the past costs portion of the settlement (\$266,900) in a lump sum and payment of the future costs portion of the settlement through the purchase of an annuity (with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania as the designated owner and beneficiary), which will vield 20

annual payments beginning January 1, 2006 and must guarantee that (i) the first payment in 2006 will be approximately \$105,000; and (ii) each subsequent annual payment will be 4% greater than the last payment; or (c) payment of the past costs portion of the settlement in a lump sum and performance by the Group of 10 of all future obligations regarding groundwater collection, treatment and monitoring. The Group of 10 offered to settle with the PaDEP under the terms of settlement option (b) above. By letter dated March 9, 1995, the PaDEP accepted the Group of 10's settlement offer. On April 27, 1995, the PaDEP and the Group of 10 reached a tentative agreement regarding the terms of the consent decree that will evidence the Group of 10's settlement of the PaDEP Suit. In early July 1995, the members of the Group of 10 and the PaDEP executed the consent decree. By letter dated July 10, 1995, the PaDEP lodged the executed consent decree with the Court pending the outcome of the required 30-day public notice and comment period. By letter dated September 25, 1995, the PaDEP informed the Group of 10 that the PaDEP had received no public comments regarding the consent decree. On or about October 17, 1995, the Court entered the fully executed consent decree of record.

The list of PRPs in these matters includes approximately 20 other substantial companies that would presumably be called upon to share with the Company any ultimate liability; however, the Superfund Act has been interpreted to provide for joint and several liability, meaning that any one liable party may be held responsible for the entire cost of the remediation. As stated above, B-E, a member of the Group of 10, has undergone a Chapter 11 reorganization. The Company filed a proof of claim in In re: B-E Holdings, Inc. and Bucyrus-Erie Company, Chapter 11, Case Nos. 94-20786-RAE and 94-20787-RAE in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin. In its proof of claim, the Company characterized its claim as an unliquidated, unsecured nonpriority claim for contribution and/or indemnity with respect to the various lawsuits filed concerning the Site. On December 14, 1994, the Bankruptcy Court approved B-E's and B-E Holdings, Inc.'s plan of reorganization. By order dated August 25, 1995, the Bankruptcy Court lifted the Automatic Stay applicable to B-E and B-E Holdings, Inc. Currently, it is not possible to determine whether all aspects of these matters can be resolved without extensive litigation or what, if any, possible settlement amount would be attributable to the Company. To date, the Company's insurer has assumed the cost of legal defense on behalf of the Company. In addition, the insurer has paid \$600,000, the Company's payment obligation under the terms of the Cost Recovery Suit, into a settlement trust pending finalization of the settlement. In the opinion of management, after review with counsel, the ultimate outcome of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

In the ordinary course of business, product liability lawsuits have been filed from time to time against the Company, including by persons alleging exposure to unsafe levels of EtO from the Company's sterilizers. In the EtO cases, the Company, either alone, or along with varying numbers of other parties, has been named as a defendant in a number of separate complaints alleging that employees of the Company's customers suffered personal injury as a result of acute or chronic exposure to EtO. At the end of the fiscal year, 12 EtO cases were pending against the Company (not including one case where a complaint, naming the Company as a co-defendant, was filed in December 1995 in state court, but was not served on the Company until February 1996). In these cases, the involvement of many of the defendants, including the Company, is based on assertions that these defendants sold EtO or products utilizing EtO to the plaintiff's employers. Damages are sought jointly and severally from all defendants, with certain complaints seeking both compensatory and punitive damages. Of the complaints currently pending against the Company, the majority either do not specify the amount of compensatory damages sought or seek an amount of compensatory damages in excess of jurisdictional limits. The remaining cases seek varying amounts of substantial compensatory damages. The majority of complaints alleging punitive damages do not specify any amount of such damages.

The Company has insurance in effect for all years for which damages are presently being sought, and the Company's insurer has accepted defense of all EtO claims on the Company's behalf. The insurance policies for 1987 through 1995 contain deductible provisions requiring the Company to self-insure a portion of the risk for each claim in the amount of \$500,000. The complaints currently pending against the Company were assigned to policy years 1987 through 1994. Certain of the cases assigned to these policy years with deductible provisions are in the relatively early stages of litigation and, therefore, no estimate of losses, if any, can be made.

The Company and its insurer are vigorously defending EtO cases and, to date, a significant number of these cases have been either dismissed or settled without a finding of liability. These settlements and the monetary damages in the one case where a verdict was returned against the Company have been nominal or have been covered by insurance. It is management's opinion, based on its evaluation and discussions with outside legal counsel, that this litigation will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

On August 25, 1992, the Company entered into a settlement agreement with Johnson & Johnson Medical, Inc. (JJMI) with respect to certain patent infringement litigation between the two parties. The Company had previously instituted an action against JJMI under its former name, Surgikos, Inc., alleging patent infringement of several patents owned by the Company relating to the use of hydrogen peroxide vapor as a sterilant. JJMI denied the allegations and counterclaimed, seeking a declaratory judgment that such patents were invalid and unenforceable.

Under the terms of the agreement, both parties agreed to dismiss this pending litigation and JJMI agreed to pay \$2,000,000 to the Company immediately, which amount was received by the Company in August 1992. Furthermore, each party agreed to release and discharge the other from and against all claims and liabilities relating to infringement of such patents contingent upon the receipt by the Company of additional payments of up to \$7,000,000, conditioned upon the receipt of JJMI of FDA approval to market a sterilizing system in the United States and Canada utilizing hydrogen peroxide vapor in conjunction with glow discharge plasma prior to the expiration of such patents in 1996. On October 1, 1993, FDA sent notification of approval to market such a sterilizing system to

JJMI. In connection therewith, the Company received \$3,000,000 in November 1993, \$2,000,000 in July 1994 and \$2,000,000 in March 1995.

There are other various pending lawsuits and claims arising out of the conduct of the Company's business. In the opinion of management and counsel, the ultimate outcome of these lawsuits and claims will not have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the Company.

#### 18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

As of December 31, 1995 and 1994, the Company was contingently liable in the amount of \$15,870,000 and \$23,779,000, respectively, under standby letters of credit and guarantees. Approximately \$13,165,000 and \$16,365,000 of the totals at December 31, 1995 and 1994, respectively, relate to letters of credit required as security under the Company's self-insurance policies. The remaining balance in each year relates to performance bonds on long-term contracts.

The Company has entered into a contractual agreement regarding the utilization of certain types of telecommunication services. The contract contains provisions that commit the Company to purchase a minimum of \$2,100,000 of such services per annum for a three-year period ending November 30, 1997. If the Company's charges for such services during any annual period are less than \$2,100,000, the Company would be assessed a penalty equal to the difference between the minimum amount and the actual amount of services used.

During the third quarter of 1994, the United States Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") conducted an inspection of the Company's hydrogen peroxide vapor sterilizer pre-market notifications submitted under Section 510(k) of the Federal Food Drug and Cosmetic Act ("510(k) notifications"). In connection with this inspection, the Company was notified by FDA on January 20, 1995 that FDA has applied its Application Integrity Policy to the Company. Consequently, pre-market approval applications and 510(k) notifications submitted by the Company will not be reviewed by FDA until the Company has completed certain corrective actions to the satisfaction of FDA, including audits of certain previously cleared 510(k) notifications that are being conducted by a regulatory consultant retained by the Company. In addition to audits specified by FDA, the Company elected to audit certain additional 510(k) notifications. The audits finalized to date have identified certain corrective actions that the Company has completed or will complete during 1996. The Company cannot predict how long 510(k) notifications filed by the Company will not be reviewed by FDA. The Company believes that there are no material product introductions planned for 1996 that require pre-market clearance. The Company has entered into discussions with FDA regarding steps necessary for FDA to resume its review of 510(k) notifications filed by the Company. The Company recorded reserves of approximately \$3,250,000 at December 31, 1994 to cover the estimated costs of the FDA review, including corrective actions to be completed. Charges against these reserves amounted to \$1,509,000 in 1994 and 1995, leaving residual reserves of \$1,741,000 at December 31, 1995. These residual reserves are intended primarily to cover professional consulting, legal and other fees expected to be incurred in connection with the completion of the corrective actions.

As a consequence of these corrective actions and given the experience of other companies subject to the Application Integrity Policy, it is more likely than not that FDA will refer this matter to the Department of Justice ("DOJ") and that DOJ will pursue sanctions, including but not limited to fines and/or criminal sanctions. The Company cannot predict, at this time, the impact on the Company of this continuing FDA review or corrective actions resulting therefrom, or the financial or other effect any fines or sanctions could have on the business, the consolidated financial position or the results of operations of the Company. In the event DOJ pursues sanctions, DOJ could apply certain guidelines that, if strictly adhered to, could result in any such fines and/or sanctions being material; however, the Company believes that there are mitigating factors that, when considered, could result in any such fines and/or sanctions not being material.

# 19. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION:

The Company is predominately engaged in the design, manufacture and service of equipment used primarily in the healthcare industry. The following is information about the Company's operations by geographic area:

(Dollars in Thousands)	December 31,				
	1995	1994	1993		
Net sales- Net sales (including intergeographic net sales of \$13,755, \$9,750 and \$7,042 for the years 1995, 1994 and 1993, respectively) United States Net sales (including intergeographic net sales of \$37,944, \$34,598 and \$30,209 for the years 1995, 1994 and 1993,	\$ 411,429	\$ 440,219	\$ 450,657		
respectively) Foreign Adjustments and eliminations	94,346 (51,699)	87,253 (44,168)	81,462 (37,251)		
Consolidated	\$ 454,076	\$ 483,304	\$ 494,868		
Income (loss) from operations United States Foreign	\$ 53,646 1,937	26,484 (4,469)	\$ 57,878 (4,142)		
Consolidated Other expenses, including interst and general corporate expenses	55,583 956	22,015 10,006	53,736 4,371		
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting	\$ 54,627	\$ 12,009	\$ 49,365		
Identifiable assets United States Foreign Corporate	298,971 107,393 103,604	346,290 95,561 19,736	409,124 85,292 40,001		
Consolidated	\$ 509,968	\$ 461,587	\$ 534,417		

Transfers between geographic areas are accounted for at prices which approximate arms'-length market prices. To reconcile geographic information with consolidated amounts, intergeographic net sales were eliminated. Income (loss) from operations is total revenue less costs and expenses without the effect of interest expense and other nonoperating income.

Identifiable assets are those assets that are identified with the operations in each geographic area. Corporate assets are principally cash and short-term marketable securities.

Sales to a single customer did not aggregate 10% or more of total sales. Export sales were less than 10% of consolidated net sales in the years presented and are included in United States net sales.

# 20. DISCONTINUED OPERATION:

On June 23, 1994, the Board of Directors of the Company approved a plan to divest or wind-down the business of ASRI. The Company's decision was based on the difficulties encountered in achieving ASRI's growth objectives in the healthcare environment existing at that time. In connection with this action, the Company recorded a one-time after-tax charge of \$60,000,000 (net of taxes of \$32,300,000), or \$1.94 per share, to its consolidated net earnings during the second quarter of 1994. The charge reflected estimated asset write-offs and wind-down costs associated with discontinuing the ASRI business.

In connection with this divestment plan, ASRI consummated the sale of substantially all of its assets effective July 31, 1994. Proceeds from the disposal consisted of a combination of cash and a note totaling approximately \$14,900,000. As a result of the sale and its subsequent impact on the resolution of certain contingencies related to the transaction, during the fourth quarter of 1994, the Company recorded a \$16,846,000 (\$10,949,900 net of taxes), or \$.35 per share, favorable adjustment to the previously recorded estimated loss on the disposal of the ASRI business.

For the first half of 1994, ASRI had net sales of \$10,316,000 and pretax losses from operations of \$9,625,000. Total reserves for ASRI at December 31, 1995 and 1994, were \$10,412,000 and \$9,939,000, respectively, which consisted primarily of reserves for amounts for which the discontinued business remains contingently liable. Payment of the majority of the remaining balance of this reserve for future cash charges is anticipated to occur beyond 1996 and the timing is dependent upon future resolution of certain remaining contingencies related to the transaction. The resolution of these remaining contingencies could result in a favorable adjustment to the estimated loss on disposal of business, as well as reduce the total amount of cash expected to be paid relating to the discontinuance of this business. The results of operations for ASRI have been reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as a discontinued operation.

# 21. ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

In October 1995, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation." This new standard requires disclosure in the financial statements of the financial impact of employee stock options issued or modified during the year based on fair value, as defined, at the date of grant. The new standard also permits companies to adopt the fair value method of recording compensation cost for these employee stock options.

The Company presently intends to adopt the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 as of December 31, 1996. These disclosures will include the pro forma effects of any awards granted in 1995. The Company has not completed the necessary calculations to determine the impact this new standard will have on the Company's disclosures regarding the value of stock-based compensation.

# AMSCO INTERNATIONAL, INC., and SUBSIDIARIES QUARTERLY DATA (UNAUDITED)

(Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total Year
1995					
Net Sales	\$ 102,882	\$ 101,053	\$ 110,674	\$ 139,467	
Gross Profit	37,180	34,107			153,143
General Operating Expenses Restructuring and Severance Expense	21,728	20,669	23,022	22,696	88,115 1,200
Amortization Expense	2 124	2,099		- 1 905	8,245
Income from Operations		11,339			
Net Income	6,877		4,254	22,727 14,080	31,907
Income Per Common Share - Fully Diluted	0.21	0.21	0.13	0.41	0.97
1994					
Net Sales	\$ 104,706	\$ 112,085	\$ 116,498	\$ 150,015	\$ 483,304
Gross Profit	39,376	34,210	42,327	50,730	166,643
General Operating Expenses	27,142	28,373			108,796
Restructuring and Severance Expense	-	3,000		23,996	26,996
Amortization Expense	2,320	2,300			8,836
Income (Loss) from Operations	9,914		12,874	(1,310)	22,015
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	,	(1,546)	5,882	(4,214)	4,251
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operation	(3,448)	(62,607)	-	10,950	(55,105)
Extraordinary Item - Loss on the Extinguishment					
of Debt, net of income taxes Net Income (Loss)	- 681	(64,153)	5,882	(1,655) 5,081	(1,655) (52,509)
Income (Loss) Per Common Share - Fully Diluted:	001	(04,153)	5,002	5,001	(52,509)
From Continuing Operations	0.13	(0.05)	0.19	(0.14)	0.13
From Discontinued Operation	(0.11)	(2.02)	-	0.35	(1.77)
From Extinguishment of Debt	-	(2.52)	-	(0.05)	(0.05)
Net Income (Loss)	0.02	(2.07)	0.19	0.16	(1.69)